

**Before the
MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400005.
Tel. 022 22163964/65/69 Fax 22163976
Email: mercindia@mercindia.org.in
Website: www.mercindia.org.in/www.merc.gov.in**

Case No. 150 of 2013 and Case No. 63 of 2014

Dated: 16 April, 2014

CORAM: Smt. Chandra Iyengar, Chairperson

In the matter of:

**Suo motu proceedings on the Report submitted by the Committee on Compensatory
Tariff for Tiroda power plant of Adani Power Maharashtra Limited in Case 68 of 2012**

- Case No. 63 of 2014

And

**Petition of Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Ltd. for Review of
Order dated 21.08.2013 passed by MERC in Case No. 68 of 2012.**

- Case No. 150 of 2013

Advocates / Representative for the MSEDCL: Shri. Kiran Gandhi (Adv.)
Shri. A. S. Chavan (Rep.)

Advocate / Representative for the APML: Shri. Sanjay Sen (Adv., APML)

Authorised Consumer Representatives: Smt. Ashwini Chitnis (Prayas)
Shri. Ashok Pendse (TBIA)

Daily Order

1. Heard MSEDCL, APML and Authorised Consumer Representatives.
2. The Commission expressed extreme annoyance of the fact that while the Daily Order dated 27 March, 2014 had asked MSEDCL and APML to quantify the impact of the Committee Report and submit it by 10 April, 2014, MSEDCL mentioned during the hearing that they are yet to calculate the same. Such lackadaisical approach of MSEDCL in complying Orders of this Commission may not be tolerated in future. The Commission directs MSEDCL to make the submission as required by the daily Order dated 27 March, 2014 by 21 April, 2014. If MSEDCL is experiencing difficulty in quantifying the impact of the Committee recommendations, then it may seek clarifications for the same from members of the Committee.

3. APML mentioned that as directed, they have submitted quantification of impact of Committee Report on 10 April, 2014. Shri. Ashok Pendse expressed his views on the same during the hearing. He also agreed to submit the same in writing. APML is directed to file reply to the same. Further, APML may submit its rejoinder in reply to MSEDCL's submission on quantification of impact by 25 April, 2014.
4. Smt. Ashwini Chitnis submitted her comments on the Committee Report and requested the Commission to take independent view on the matter and also adopt the procedure for determination of tariff as stipulated in Section 64 of the Electricity Act. The Commission mentioned that all the comments / submissions will be considered while deciding the matter.
5. Further, the Commission requested both the Consumer Representatives to quantify the impact as per methodology suggested in the Committee Report considering various scenarios / considering the assumptions which they believe to be appropriate.
6. All the submissions as directed above and any further submission / rejoinders / final written arguments in both these Cases are required to be filed by 25 April, 2014 with copy served on all Parties including Authorised Consumer Representatives.
7. Both the Cases are reserved for Orders.

Sd/-
(Chandra Iyengar)
Chairperson